

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,089            | 10/31/2003                   | Ankur Bhatt          | 13906-119001 /<br>2003P00394 | 9708             |
| 32864<br>FISH & RICHA | 7590 08/24/200<br>ARDSON P.C | EXAMINER             |                              |                  |
| PO BOX 1022           | ·                            | DEBROW, JAMES J      |                              |                  |
| MINNEAPOL             | IS, MN 55440-1022            | `                    | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                       |                              |                      | 2176                         |                  |
|                       |                              |                      |                              |                  |
|                       |                              |                      | MAIL DATE                    | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                       |                              |                      | 08/24/2007                   | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| _ | _             |
|---|---------------|
| < | $\overline{}$ |
| 2 | <u>ځ</u> ۲    |
|   |               |

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/698,089  | BHATT ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | James J. Debrow   | 2176   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status .   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.   | ovember 2006.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | , ·   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2176

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 16 Nov. 2006.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending in the case. Claims 1, and 10, are independent claims.

## Applicant's Response

3. In Applicant's response dated 16 Nov. 2006, applicant amended Claims 1 and 10; added new claims 19-24; argued against rejections previously set forth in previous Office Action.

### Examiner's Response

4. The rejections set for in the Office Action mailed 10 Aug 2007 has been withdrawn.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10, 11, 13-15 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kesler (Pat. No.: US 7,062,502 B1; Filed Dec. 28, 2001).

In regards to independent claims 1 and 10, Kesler discloses a method of generating an electronic report from a list view displaying data objects that each comprise a plurality of fields and corresponding attributes for the fields, the method comprising (col. 40, lines 34-37):

providing, in a first application, a list view of data objects, the list view displaying an object field and an attribute for at least one of the data objects (col. 13, lines 4-39; Figs. 7, 35, 37 and 78; Kesler discloses a user interface with a data display that is list driven. The UI displays a list view displaying an object field and an attribute for at least one of the data objects.).

receiving a user selection of at least one object field (col. 13, lines 47-52; Kesler discloses data entry and navigation functions are accessed through dynamically constructed menus. Menus are generated from data list by right-mouse clicking on the list or using the CTRL-M key combination on the keyboard.).

generating an output file that an external reporting application can use to generate a tabulated report, the tabulated report to include the attributes corresponding to the selected at least one object field (col. 29, lines 51-58; "Reports" in Table 21; Kesler discloses exporting a data list to one of several file formats using an export wizard and generating a custom report. Kesler further disclose a Component Object model (COM) interface that provides for communication between the user interface and external software components. Thus Kesler inherently discloses generating an output file that an external reporting application can use to generate a tabulated report.).

launching, after generating the output file, the external reporting application and generating the tabulated report using the launched external reporting application, the tabulated report comprising, the attributes corresponding to the selected at least one object field (col. 37, line 50- col. 38, line 46; "Reports" in Table 21; Kesler discloses a Component Object model (COM) interface that provides for communication between the user interface and external software components.).

In regards to dependent claims 2 and 11, Kesler discloses receiving a user selection of the external reporting application (col. 37, line 50- col. 38, line 46; "Reports" in Table 21; col. 40, lines 34-37; Kesler discloses a Component Object model (COM) interface that provides for communication between the user interface and external software components, which is used to generate customs reports.).

In regards to dependent claims 4 and 13, Kesler discloses displaying a view of the list view and wherein the tabulated report is triggered from the view of the list view (col. 13, lines 4-39; col. 40, lines 34-37; Figs. 7, 35, 37 and 78; Kesler discloses a user interface with a data display that is list driven. The UI displays a list view displaying an object field and an attribute for at least one of the data objects. The display is presented to the user in tabulated form.).

In regards to dependent claims 5 and 14, Kesler discloses wherein the data objects for the list view are retrieved from a database according to a search feature

Application/Control Number: 10/698,089

Art Unit: 2176

prior to displaying the view of the list view (col. 9, line 1-col. 12, line 65; col. 40, lines 34-37; Kesler discloses the using Structured Query Language (SQL) statements to retrieve data from the database for display. It has been established and is well known in the art that SQL statements retrieves data from a database according to a search feature prior to displaying the view of the list view.).

In regards to dependent claims 6 and 15, Kesler discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the output file includes the selected at least one object field and the corresponding attributes (col. 29, lines 51-58; "Reports" in Table 21; col. 40, lines 34-37; Kesler discloses exporting a data list to one of several file formats using an export wizard and generating a custom report.)

In regards to dependent claims 19 and 22, Kesler discloses providing the list view of data objects comprises displaying at least a portion of the data objects in a table (col. 13, lines 4-39; col. 40, lines 34-37; Figs. 7, 35, 77 and 79; Kesler discloses a user interface with a data display that is list driven. The UI displays a list view displaying an object field and an attribute for at least one of the data objects.)

In regards to dependent claims 20 and 23, Kesler discloses receiving the user selection of the at least one object field comprises displaying a selection dialog box that includes the at least one object field and receiving a user selection of the at least one object field from the selection dialog box (col. 13, lines 4-39; col. 40, lines 34-37; Figs.

Art Unit: 2176

7, 35, 77 and 79; Kesler discloses a user interface with a data display that is list driven.

The UI displays a list view displaying an object field and an attribute for at least one of

the data objects.).

In regards to dependent claims 21 and 24, Kesler discloses receiving the user

selection of the at least one object field comprises receiving an indication of a user

selection of the object field in the list view (col. 13, lines 47-52; col. 40, lines 34-37;

Kesler discloses data entry and navigation functions are accessed through dynamically

constructed menus. Menus are generated from data list by right-mouse clicking on the

list or using the CTRL-M key combination on the keyboard.).

7. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the

prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to

be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon

for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art.

See MPEP 2123.

8.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/698,089

Art Unit: 2176

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 3, 7, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kesler in view of Evans et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0019560 A1; Filed Dec. 23, 2002) (hereinafter 'Evans').

In regards to dependent claims 3 and 12, Kesler does not expressly disclose wherein the user selection of the external reporting application is selected from the group consisting of Microsoft.RTM. Excel and HTML.

However, Evans teaches wherein the user selection of the external reporting application is selected from the group consisting of Microsoft.RTM. Excel and HTML (0158; Evans teaches customized reports can be created using applications such as Microsoft.RTM. Excel and HTML).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the combine Kesler with Evans for the benefit of gathering and manipulating data to create highly customized reports (0158).

In regards to dependent claims 7 and 16, Kesler does not expressly disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the output file is an ActiveX Data Object Recordset.

However, Evans teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the output file is an ActiveX Data Object Recordset (0047; 0117-0119; 0159; Evans teaches ActiveX is supported mainly by Microsoft Internet Explorer, which is capable of opening pages/files/reports containing ActiveX to upload files on a server.).

Art Unit: 2176

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the combine Kesler with Evans for the benefit of gathering and manipulating data to create highly customized reports (0158).

- 11. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art.

  See MPEP 2123.
- 12. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kesler in view of Bowman-Amuah (Patent No.: 6,615,253 B1; Filing Date: August 31, 1999).

In regards to dependent claims 8 and 17, Kesler does not expressly disclose wherein generating the output file that the external reporting application can use to generate the tabulated report further comprises transferring the output file to a reporting-tool-specific interface component capable of plug-and-play interaction with the external reporting application.

However, Bowman-Amuah teaches wherein generating the output file that the external reporting application can use to generate the tabulated report further comprises transferring the output file to a reporting-tool-specific interface component capable of plug-and-play interaction with the external reporting application (column 43, lines 52-56;

Art Unit: 2176

column 309, lines 48-51; Bowman-Amuah teaches a plug-in (plug-and-play) is a software program that is specifically written to be executed within a browser for the purpose of providing additional functionality that is not natively supported by the browser (external reporting applications), such as viewing and playing unique data (tabulated report) or media types. Bowman-Amuah further discloses a computer program containing code segments, which when executed on a computer performs efficient data retrieval, the computer program being embodied on a computer readable medium.).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the combine Kesler with Bowman-Amuah for the benefit of efficiently retrieving data (col. 1, lines 21-22).

- 13. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2123.
- 14. Claims 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kesler in view of Grasso et al. (Pat. No.: 5,892,909; Filed Jan. 31, 1997) (hereinafter 'Grasso').

Application/Control Number: 10/698,089

Art Unit: 2176

In regards to dependent claims 9 and 18, Kesler does not expressly disclose wherein the launched external reporting application generates the tabulated report.

However, Grasso teaches wherein the launched external reporting application generates the tabulated report (col. 15, lines 49-61; Grasso teaches the user identifies the application used to create the content of the distribution (report). After the primary application (external reporting application) is chosen, the system automatically makes available other native formats for a particular application. In an exemplary embodiment, MS-Word, MS-Power-point, and MS-Excel are supported. It has been established and it well known in the art that MS-Excel typically generates tabulated report.).

Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Kesler with Grasso for the benefit of each application being mapped to one or more formats that will be contained in the distribution *(report)* (col. 15, lines 55-56).

15. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2123.

#### Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 16 Nov 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore,

Art Unit: 2176

the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kesler, Grasso, Evans and Bowman-Amuah.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW EXAMINER ART UNIT 2176

WILLIAM BASHORE